

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/659,133	HAMMARLUND ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susan Y Chen	2171

All Participants:

(1) Susan Y Chen.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Stephen T. Neal.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 August 2004

Time: 5:00PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Double Patenting Rejection.

Claims discussed:

1-13, 18-20

Prior art documents discussed:

U.S. Patent No. 6,662,173

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The instant application claims the same invention of U. S. U.S. Patent No. 6,662,173 in a broad scope, thus, subject to an obviousness type double patenting rejection. Applicant agrees to file a terminal disclaimer to resolve the problem.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)